

CHICAGO, IL 60661

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE 6583 09/982,436 10/18/2001 PG16044P0041US Michael Putnam **EXAMINER** 32116 7590 02/10/2005 WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER COLE, ELIZABETH M 500 W. MADISON STREET **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 3800**

1771

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/982,436	PUTNAM ET AL
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Elizabeth M. Cole	1771
 Period for	· The MAILING DATE of this communication app · Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	ie correspondence address
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 AX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	<u>ine 2003</u> .	
2a)⊠ ∃	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) 🗌 💲	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
C	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositio	on of Claims	•	
4) × (Claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Applicatio	n Papers		
9)[] T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) <u> </u>	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) <u></u> ⊤	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) 1	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Compared to the priority documents	s have been received.	
_	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	
_	application from the International Bureau		
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ived.
Attachment(s	·	🗖	(DTO 110)
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	• •
3) 🔲 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Information	al Patent Application (PTO-152)
	No(s)/Mail Date	6)	

Art Unit: 1771

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what is meant by "relatively lightly bonded". How much bonding would constitute relatively lightly bonded?
- 3. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10-140,148 in view of Homonoff et al, U.S> Patent No. 5,151,320 as set forth in paragraph 4-5 of the previous action.
- 4. Applicant's amendment has overcome the 112 2nd paragraph rejection of claim 12.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the hydroentanglement in Yoshimura is for integrating pulp fibers with a web of thermoplastic fibers. However, the instant claims do not preclude the presence of additional layers. Applicant argues that Yoshimura does not teach breaking the bonds of the continuous fibers. However, Yoshimura teaches applying the water jets to the sheet of Yoshimura in order to entangle the pulp sheet with the spunbonded layer. Since the spunbonded layer is bonded, in order to entangle the pulp fibers with the spunbonded layer, the bonds of the spunbonded layer would have to be broken. Further, since the basis weight, fiber composition and denier of Yoshimura are the same as those claimed in the instant invention, it is reasonable to presume that the Yoshimura material would react the same way to the

Art Unit: 1771

hydroentanglement process. With regard to Homonoff, Applicant argues that Homonoff also teaches bonding a pulp sheet to a spunbonded layer and teaches that the prebonding may be up to 50% bond area. With regard to the presence of additional layers, the instant claims do not preclude the presence of additional layers. With regard to the amount of prebonding, the claims recite that the web is "relatively lightly bonded", but is not specific as to the meaning of this limitation. It is the examiner's position that 3-4% bonding which is taught by Homonoff would equate to "relatively lightly bonded".

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Arnold et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,707,468 discloses a minimally bonded spunbonded web which may be subjected to hydroentanglement. Collier et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,169,706 teaches suitable fibers and webs which may be used in the Arnold process.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (703) 872-9306.

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1771

e.m.c